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Abstract—An adder is described for addition of a large number of binary numbers \(x_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, m\), where \(x_j = \sum x_{ji} 2^i, x_{ji} = 0, 1\). The adder's algorithm has two parts: 1) The bits \(x_{ji}\) are added independently for each binary order \(i: s_{k} = \sum x_{ji} 0 \leq m\) and the result expressed in the binary form \(s_i = \sum s_{ki} 2^i, s_{ki} = 0, 1, k = 0, 1, \ldots, p - 1\) (where \(2^r \leq s_i < 2^{r+1})\); 2) the sum \(y = \sum x_{ji}\) is formed by adding terms \(2^i\) as contributions of the bit \(s_{ki}\) to the total \(y\). A hardware implementation of this algorithm is suggested where the sum \(s_i\) is obtained by a sequential circuit which reorders the values \(x_{ji}\) so that their sum \(s_i\) remains unchanged and so that after the reordering all new values \(x_{ji}\) obey the conditions \(x_{j,i+1} = x_{j,i}\) for every \(j = 1, 2, \ldots, m\).

The implementation with integrated circuits should be quite interesting because the control of the circuit is done with independent control elements distributed all over the chip.

Index Terms—Adder, adder for large number of numbers, distributed control, reordering.

SYMBOLISM

Binary numbers entering addition:

\[
x_{j,i-n}, x_{j,n-2}, x_{j,n-1}, x_{j,0}
\]

Number of nonzeros (ones) in the order \(i\):

\[
s_i = \sum_j x_{ji}
\]

Number \(s_i\) expressed as a binary number with \(p\) digits \(s_{ki}\):

\[
s_i = \sum_k s_{ki} 2^k, s_{ki} = 0, 1, k = 0, 1, \ldots, p - 1
\]

Resulting sum \(y\) of numbers \(x_{ji}\):

\[
y = \sum_j x_{ji}, j = 1, 2, \ldots, m
\]

In binary form:

\[
y = y_{N-1} \cdot 2^{N-1} + y_{N-2} \cdot 2^{N-2} + \cdots + y_1 \cdot 2^1 + y_0
\]

\[
y_i = 0, 1, i = 0, 1, \cdots, N - 1
\]

The number of bits of the result is \(N\) and to prevent an overflow we have to choose \(N\) to obey \(2^{N-n} \leq m < 2^{N-n+1}\).

INTRODUCTION

There are arithmetic operations which require the addition of a large number of numbers. Multiplication and special function generation are such operations. The following numerical methods have been implemented in operation units of computers.

1) Accumulation through repeated addition (single adder working as an accumulator). This solution is simple but needs large execution time.

2) Addition of numbers \(x_j\) by pairs, addition of the resulting sums by pairs, and a repetition of that process until the final sum \(y\) is reached. (Implementation by a cascade of adders gives execution time much shorter than in the preceding case. For instance, for 32 numbers case 1 needs 31 addition times, case 2 needing only 4 \((\log_2 32 - 1)\) addition times.)

3) "Carry save addition" which adds a group of three numbers \(x_{ji}\) (triplets) and reduces their sum to a sum of two numbers. One of those numbers evaluates the sum modulo 2 of bits in the same binary order, the second number being composed from the carries generated but not transferred. These partial results regrouped in triplets enter a "carry save addition" again and the procedure is repeated until only two numbers remain to be added. (Implementation uses a cascade of full adders. The number of addition cycles is not smaller than in case 2 but the operation time is extremely reduced because the carries are not transferred, although they are formed.)

4) Evaluation of \(y\) as a sum of all components \(x_{ji} \cdot 2^i\). (Implementation depends on the way in which the components are grouped and on the sequence in which the groups' sums are added \([1], [2]\).) A relay adder for any number of binary numbers has been described by the author \([3]\).

The adder discussed here belongs to the last category. The fundamental idea to count bits of the same binary order is as old as the addition with a pencil on paper. The counting by ordering is believed to be new.

It is clear that

\[
y = \sum_j x_j = \sum_j x_{ji} \cdot 2^i = \sum_{i} \left(\sum_{j} x_{ji}\right) \cdot 2^i = \sum_{i} s_{ki} \cdot 2^i
\]

\[
= \sum_{i} \left(\sum_{k} s_{ki} \cdot 2^k\right) \cdot 2^i = \sum_{i} \sum_{k} s_{ki} \cdot 2^i = \sum_{k} a_k
\]

where

\[
a_k = \sum_{i} s_{ki} \cdot 2^{i+k}
\]

and

\[
s_{ki} = 0 \text{ or } 1.
\]

The summation limits are \(j = 1, 2, \ldots, m; i = 0, 1, \cdots, n - 1; k = 0, 1, \cdots, p - 1\) where \(p \ll m\). The integer \(p\) can be fixed by the relation

\[
\log_2 m < p \leq 1 + \log_2 m.
\]

The sum \(y\) of \(m\) numbers \(x_j\) is transformed in this way into a sum of \(p \ll m\) numbers \(a_k\). (The new group of \(p\) num-
the same sum as the original group of \( m \) numbers.)

For instance, the addition of \( m = 31 \) numbers is transformed into an addition of \( p = 5 \) numbers. (For \( m = 3 \) we come back to the regular carry save addition.)

The summing up of bits could be done with shift registers and counters as suggested in [2]. We propose a counting of bits based on their reordering implemented by a sequential logical circuit.

Let us suppose that we start with a given sequence of bits \( x_{ji}, j = 1, 2, \cdots, m \) for a fixed binary order \( i \). Integer \( j \) is the ordering parameter of the sequence. Then if

\[
x_{ji} \geq x_{j+1,i} \quad \text{for every} \quad j = 1, 2, \cdots, m - 1,
\]

(8)
the sequence is ordered so that \( x_{ji} \) never increases with \( j \) (the sequence is monotonic). A given sequence does not have this property in general, but it is quite easy to reorder without changing the sum

\[
s_i = \sum_j x_{ji}.
\]

To do it we interchange any two bits which follow each other in the wrong order:

\[
(x_{ji} < x_{j+1,i}) \Rightarrow \begin{cases} x_{ji} = 0, x_{j+1,i} = 1 \\ x_{ji} = x_{j+1,i} = 1, x_{j+1,i} = x_{ji} = 0 \end{cases}
\]

(9)
so that \( x'_{ji} > x_{j+1,i} \) after the interchange which is repeated until the final sequence is monotonic and obeys (8).

**ADDITION ALGORITHM**

0 BEGIN

1 IF \((x_{ji}\geq x_{j+1,i} \quad \text{for every} \quad j = 1, 2, \cdots, m - 1 \text{ and for} \quad i = 0, 1, \cdots, n - 1) \text{ THEN GO TO 4}\)

APPLY (9) for every \( i \) and for every \( j \) for which \( x_{ji} < x_{j+1,i} \).

GO TO 1.

2 For every \( i = 0, 1, \cdots, n - 1 \) find the lowest value of \( j \) belonging to \( x_{ji} = 0 \) and then make \( a_i = j - 1 \).

3 Find \( s_a = 0 \) or 1 so that \( s_a = s_{i,p-1} \cdot 2^{p-1} + \cdots + s_{i,1} \cdot 2^{1} + a_i \cdot 2^0 \) for \( k = 0, 1, \cdots, p - 1 \).

4 Form \( a_k = \sum_i s_{ik} \cdot 2^{k+1} \) and evaluate \( y = \sum_k a_k \).

7 END

**IMPLEMENTATION**

There are only a few problems of logical design of this adder worth mentioning:

1) sequential circuit for the reordering of \( x_{ji} \);
2) logical circuit reading \( s_i \) and generating \( s_{ik} \);
3) adder for \( y \) (line 6);
4) end of reordering strobe generator.

An example of the fundamental version of the sequential circuit for reordering is in Fig. 1. The reset control of the flip-flops for \( x_{ji}, j = 1, 2, \cdots, 7 \), \( i = \) constant as well as the inputs for \( x_{ji} \) are not shown to get a clearer picture. We begin with the state where the bits \( x_{ji} \) are stored in flip-flops already. The trigger \( T \) comes then to test the condition (9) for every \( j \) (line 1 of the algorithm). Notice that this condition is never valid for two adjacent values \( j, j + 1 \) at the same time. If there is a \( j \) for which the condition (9) is valid, then the flip-flop \( x_{ji} \) is set to "1" and the flip-flop \( x_{j+1,i} \) is reset to "0." This process will proceed as long as (9) is valid for at least one value of \( j \). If not then the values of \( x_{ji} \) are ordered and (8) holds.

After the reordering, the last nonzero value along the sequence \( x_{ji}, j = 1, 2, \cdots, m \) indicates the count \( s_i \) of nonzero in the order \( i \). Logical circuit producing this information is based on the fact that after the reordering there is never more than just one value of \( j \) for which \( x_{ji} > x_{j+1,i} \). Then it is possible to find it by the Boolean condition \( x_{ji} \cdot x_{j+1,i} = 1 \). The corresponding logical circuit is located on the right-hand side of Fig. 1. None (for \( x_{0i} = 0 \)) or just one of the signals \( s_i \) (horizontal line) will be generated and read out through three or gates as three binary digits \( s_4(s_i = s_{12} \cdot 2^2 + s_{11} \cdot 2^1 + s_{10}) \) needed to compile the numbers \( a_k, k = 0, 1, 2 \).

The end of reordering strobe signal is easy to derive because the end means that the condition (9) is invalid for every \( j = 1, 2, \cdots, 6 \). Then the nor gate on the left-hand side of Fig. 1 has no input signal and generates the end strobe.

In the case of our example \( (m = 7) \), there are only three numbers to be added:

\[
a_2 + a_1 + a_0 = y, a_0 = s_{17} \cdot 2^3 + s_{20} \cdot 2^2 + s_{10} \cdot 2^1 + s_{00},
\]

\[
a_1 = s_{21} \cdot 2^2 + s_{11} \cdot 2^1 + s_{01} \cdot 2^0
\]

\[
= s_{21} \cdot 2^2 + s_{11} \cdot 2^1 + s_{01} \cdot 2^0 + 1,
\]

\[
a_2 = s_{22} \cdot 2^2 + s_{12} \cdot 2^1 + s_{02} \cdot 2^0 + 2.
\]

An adder for this task is sketched in Fig. 2. A conventional pattern of full adders is used. It is quite easy to show that a correct result is obtained. (For instance \( s_{02}, s_{11}, s_{20} \) which all have the same weight \( 2^2 \) enter a full adder with output bits \( b, a \) so that \( s_{02} + s_{11} + s_{20} = 2b + a \).)
EXECUTION TIME

The reordering is executed for all binary orders at the same time. Because of this time sharing, we can conclude that the final values of $s_{ik}$ are in the adder of $s_k$ when the reordering has ended for all binary orders $i$. This moment arrives $t_1$ seconds after the start of reordering operations when all end of reordering strobe signals are detected. The addition of $a_k$ needs less than $t_2$ seconds, depending on the structure of the adder for $a_k$. In the case of our example, $t_2$ is the time for the longest ripple carry propagation.

CONCLUSION

The new concept presented in this paper should produce adders with the following desirable properties.

1) It can handle an extremely large number ($m$) of numbers to add.
2) The hardware cost is smaller than with other concepts.
3) The structure is well suited for circuit integration since the control is distributed over the chip.
4) Very small execution times are expected.

To check the performance of the reordering network a breadboard model was made and tested as a part of an M.S. thesis [4]. It has been found that for $m = 7$, $t_1 \leq 90$ n seconds.

REFERENCES